

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

11 OPTICOMP CORPORATION,
12
13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 SCOTTSDALE INDEMNITY COMPANY,
16 DOES I through X, inclusive
17 Defendant.

CASE NO.: 3:10-cv-00775-RCJ-RAM

**ORDER FOR
DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE**

18 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the Plaintiff, OptiComp Corporation, and
19 Defendant, Scottsdale Indemnity Company, parties hereto, by and through their respective counsel, Law
20 Offices of Curtis B. Coulter, P.C., for Plaintiff, and Hall Jaffe & Clayton, LLP, for Defendant, that this

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

matter be dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear its own costs and attorney fees.

DATED this 26th day of May, 2011.

DATED this 26th day of May, 2011.

LAW OFFICES OF CURTIS B. COULTER, P.C. HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP

By /s/ C. Coulter via email 5/26/11
Curtis B. Coulter, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 003573
403 Hill Street
Reno, Nevada 89501
Attorney for Plaintiff

By Riley A. Clayton
Riley A. Clayton, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 005260
7455 W. Washington Ave., Suite 460
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
Attorney for Defendant

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated 06/07/2011.

R. James
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE